

A NEW APPROACH FOR HYBRID SNCR/SCR FOR NO_x REDUCTION

THOMAS L WRIGHT
Mechanical Engineer

thomas.wright@worleyparsons.com

JAMES R COX
Mechanical Engineer

randy.cox@worleyparsons.com

WorleyParsons Group
633 Chestnut St, Suite 400
Chattanooga, TN 37450

SUMMARY

While the conventional approach for NO_x reduction is to employ SCR, this paper presents a conceptual approach to low NO_x emissions with flexibility for minimizing the negative impact of SCR's NH₃ slip and increased SO₃ emissions.

NO_x REDUCTION TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

SNCR is a low capital cost, post-combustion technology for incremental NO_x reduction (up to 35%) using a gas-phase reaction. The optimum ammonia chemical utilization is from 1700 F to 1900 F. SNCR operation is a trade-off between NO_x removal and NH₃ slip.

Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) is "selective" because it only removes oxygen (O₂) from nitrogen compounds. SCR can remove up to 90% of the NO_x, but SCR has two negative impacts on plant operation.

- NH₃ Slip
 - ABS (ammonia bisulfate) Formation by reaction with SO₃ – air heater deposition & fly ash adsorption
 - NH₃ Ad/Absorbed on Fly Ash - in byproduct ash & wastewater discharge
- Increased SO₃ Emissions – catalyst oxidation of SO₂ to SO₃
 - ADP (acid dew point) Temperature Increase – ductwork corrosion impacts
 - Plume Opacity Increase – visible plume with potential opacity violations

These impacts are the long-term impacts with "aged" catalyst. NH₃ slip is generally limited to 2 ppm to avoid fly ash contamination and ABS deposits in the air heater. For dry ash, 1 ppm NH₃ slip is ~70 ppm NH₃, where most requirements for fly ash utilization is less than 100 ppm NH₃

CATALYST REPLACEMENT & NH₃ SLIP

Catalyst activity decreases over the life of the catalyst. For this conceptual assessment, catalyst life is assumed at 20,000 hours, after which the activity in the top layer has degraded to ~70%, at which time NH₃ slip exceeds 2 ppm at 90% NO_x removal. The subsequent layers will be assumed to have degraded to about half of the above layer's activity. Conceptually, the total activity of the catalyst layers would be:

- Initial – 3 initial fresh catalyst layers, the total catalyst "activity" is 3.0 and zero NH₃ slip
- Spare catalyst layer addition
 - Before spare layer is added → 2.4 activity with 2 ppm NH₃ slip
 - After spare layer is added → 3.4 activity with no NH₃ slip

The 2.4 activity establishes the baseline activity to maintain less than 2 ppm NH₃ limits for 90% removal

- First catalyst layer replacement
 - Before layer replacement → 1.9 activity with > 2 ppm NH₃ slip
 - After layer replacement → 2.6 activity with 1.8 ppm NH₃ slip
- Second Layer replacement
 - Before → 1.5 activity with ~3 ppm NH₃ slip
 - After → 2.3 activity with ~2 ppm slip

From this conceptual analysis, after each catalyst layer replacement, the total catalyst “activity” is lower than the baseline activity and the NH₃ slip starts at a higher slip than the prior to the replacement.

HYBRID SNCR/SCR

The objective of this new approach to Hybrid SNCR/SCR is to use a lower NO_x removal in the SCR to control NH₃ slip and a smaller amount of catalyst to reduce the increased SO₃ from SCR:

- Conventional Hybrid – SNCR with In-Duct SCR, between the economizer outlet & the AH inlet, usually with operation at higher gas velocities than conventional SCR reactors & with horizontal gas flow.
- New Hybrid Approach – SNCR with a separate, conventional SCR reactor (vertical with down-flowing gas)

The new Hybrid approach allows for SNCR operation at the top of the optimum curve to maximize NO_x removal, while producing a high NH₃ slip - 50% removal with 40 ppm slip. Optimum SNCR should be able to reduce the NO_x to ~0.20 lb/MMBtu. The addition of SCR with 2 catalyst layers would only need about 70% removal to achieve 0.05 lb NO_x/MMBtu. The lower NO_x removal should limit NH₃ slip at to less than 1ppm.

ECONOMICS

Hybrid SNCR/SCR capital cost (~\$1,000 per ton NO_x) is greater than conventional SCR (~\$850 per ton NO_x). The higher Hybrid capital cost is offset by:

- Ash Disposal – Fly ash contaminated with NH₃ usually cannot be sold as a byproduct and is assigned a value of \$20/ton for off-site disposal cost - \$267/ton of NO_x
- Urea – Hybrid SNCR/SCR has only a slightly higher urea cost (\$398) compared to SCR (\$352) → differential urea cost of negative \$46 per ton of NO_x
- Replacement Power – differential power cost for catalyst pressure drop (4 vs 2 catalyst layers) – \$24/ton NO_x
- Catalyst Replacement – Catalyst replacement for the new Hybrid should be less than conventional SCR due to the lower NO_x removal. To complete this conceptual analysis, the catalyst activity degradation needs to be verified by actual operating experience.

The total net operating cost differential is up to \$245 per ton of NO_x removed for Hybrid – which is greater than the capital cost differential for SCR (~\$150per ton of NO_x).

RECOMMENDATION

- Determine the “fate” of the NH₃ slip and SO₃ as the flue gas from the SCR goes thru the air heater (including wash water), ESP & FGD
- Correlate the catalyst activity degradation with NH₃ slip and NO_x removal on a regular basis – not just when the catalyst OEM needs the data